Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/07/14: CIA-RDP91-00587R000200880049-3

ON PAGE

## PRESS WELCOMES **COOPERATION PLEA**

But Some Are Wary of C.I.A. **Consultation on Publishing** Information on Spying

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT

By ALEX 5. JONES Special to The New York Times

Many journalists welcomed Thursday's call by Government officials for "cooperation" regarding disclosure of sensitive information by news organizations, which the journalists said was a softening of recent threats to prosecute news organizations under espioriage law.

But many journalists said they were wary of the suggestion made Thursday by William J. Casey, who heads the Central Intelligence Agency, that news brganizations should contact the C.I.A. for consultation before publishing information involving intelligence-gathering techniques.

"I think it's fine that they will be available for comment, but it they're talking about clearance, that's a completely different matter and that's to-tally objectionable," said Michael G. Gartner, the general news executive for the Gannett Company, who is president of the American Society of News-

paper Editors.

And there is concern among some journalists that the Government's recent warnings about security violations by news organizations may lead the public to confuse legitimate coverage of intelligence gathering with the release of damaging secrets. They say that news organizations have demonstrated for many years that they are receptive to legitimate security concerns and extremely unlikely to disclose any material that damages national security.

## **Tension Termed Inevitable**

Even with a new spirit of cooperation, many journalists said that tension between the nation's intelligence apparatus and news organizations is inevitable, because intelligence agencies have a mandate to keep secrets and the mandate of news organizations is to publish as much information as possible, short of jeopardizing national

security.

"I don't think you can avoid the es-bential conflict in what they do and what we do;" said Louis D. Boccardi. the president of The Associated Press. Like any journalist, I am opposed to povernment intervention, threats, and control, but I also think that a journalet has the responsibility to listen to legitimate security concerns.'

**NEW YORK TIMES** 1 June 1986

In an interview with The Associated Press on Thursday, Mr. Casey and Lieut, Gen. William E. Odom, the director of the National Security Agency, said that the intelligence agencies wanted to "work with" journalists regarding the publication of sensitive in-formation on intelligence gathering. In recent weeks, Mr. Casey had

threatened to seek prosecution of news organizations for disclosing sensitive information and requested that the Justice Department bring charges against NBC after the network reported the mame of a United States eavsdropping operation inside Soviet harbors.

## Warning on 'Speculation'

The interview came one day after Mr. Casey and General Odom had issued a statement cautioning news organizations against "speculation" and publishing "not authorized disclo-sures" in their coverage of the trial of Ronald W. Pelton, a former employee of the National Security Agency charged with selling intelligence-gathering secrets to the Soviet Union.

· While many journalists said the warning was an understandable expression of concern for national security, the statement aroused concern among some journalists and civil libertarians who said it represented a dangerous intrusion by the Government into the process of deciding what is and

is not published.

"I'm confident that the press will not print genuine, high-level, technical details with respect to the gathering of in-telligence," said Floyd Abrams, a lawyer who specializes in First Amendment issues, "but the issuance of blunderbuss warnings against 'speculation' and about what material is 'authorized' can only interfere with and threaten the ability of the public to learn information indispensable for its security.

Edward P. Djerejian, a White House spokesman, said Friday that it was a mistake to use the word "speculation" in the Casey-Odom warning because it might mistakenly imply that the Administration favored press censorship. But Mr. Djerejian said the White House was in "full agreement with the thrust of the statement," and Kathy Pherson.
a spokesman for the C.T.A., Said that it would be a mistake to view Mr. Casey's appeal for cooperation as representing a change in his views.

## Tri**als a Pa**rticular Problem

To some journalists, the Casey-Odom statement was particularly alarming because it seemed aimed at limiting coverage of a trial.

News coverage of trials has generally included context and amplification that goes beyond reporting testimony in order to clarify the issues and, in some cases, to challenge what is being said in court. Some journalists say that, under Mr. Casey's guidelines, full coverage of the Pelton trial could be very risky.

"If you go out and try to independently verify information that is being presented in the courtroom in order to either understand it better or to challenge what the Government is presenting in evidence, you are doing what a courtroom reporter should do to amplify and explain the trial, but you will do so at your own peril," said Jane Elizabeth Kirtley, the executive director of the Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press, a nonprofit organization concerned with preserving First Amendment freedoms.

Miss Kirtley said that because the Pelton trial involves the emotionally charged matter of espionage, Mr. Pel-ton is in even greater need of full covere in order to guarantee him a fair

STAT